IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA BECKLEY DIVISION

NICOLE HENRY,)
Plaintiff,)
v.) Civil Action No. 5:23-cv-00655
WEST VIRGINIA DIVISION OF)
CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION, and)
JOHN/JANE DOE CORRECTIONAL	ý
OFFICERS,)
Defendants.	
	_/

COMPLAINT

COMES NOW Plaintiff, Nicole Henry, by the undersigned counsel, and for her Complaint states and alleges the following:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and under its authority to decide pendent state law claims.
- 2. Venue is proper because Defendants can be served with process within the Southern District of West Virginia, Beckley Division, and because the incidents giving rise to this Complaint occurred within the Southern District of West Virginia, Beckley Division.

PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff Nicole Henry (hereinafter "Plaintiff") was at all times relevant hereto an inmate of the Southern Regional Jail (hereinafter "SRJ") in Beaver, Raleigh County, West Virginia.
- 4. Defendant West Virginia Division of Corrections and Rehabilitation (hereinafter "WVDOCR") is a state agency under the authority and control of the West Virginia Department of

EXHIBIT A

Homeland Security. The WVDOCR operates, manages and controls all state correctional facilities in West Virginia, including SRJ.

- 5. According to *West Virginia Code* § 15A-3-1, the purpose of the WVDOCR is to "establish a just, humane and efficient corrections program" in West Virginia (emphasis added).
- 6. Defendants John/Jane Doe Correctional Officers are yet to be identified current and/or former correctional officers employed by the WVDOCR who worked, or are still working, at SRJ.
- 7. All Defendants are sued up to the limits of the insurance policy(s) that provides liability coverage for their actions and omissions, or as otherwise permitted by law.

STATEMENT OF FACT

- 8. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- At all times relevant hereto, Plaintiff was incarcerated at SRJ and in the custody of the WVDOCR.
- 10. During a period of her incarceration at SRJ, Plaintiff was housed in the Quarantine Pod and A-8 Pod of SRJ.
- 11. At all times relevant hereto, the Quarantine Pod and A-8 Pod of SRJ housed what was commonly known by inmates and correctional officers as the "A-8 Gladiators," a female gang of inmates who inflicted violence and serious injury to numerous female inmates with the acquiescence of and without intervention from John/Jane Doe Correctional Officers.
- 12. On or about September 1, 2021, Plaintiff was violently beaten by the A-8 Gladiators in the Quarantine Pod and suffered serious and permanent physical injuries. John/Jane Doe Correctional Officers failed to ensure Plaintiff's cell was properly locked and secure at appropriate times, ignored Plaintiff's attempts to receive help, failed to intervene in the beating, failed to

separate the gang following the beating and failed to take Plaintiff to the medical unit following the beating.

- On or about November 1, 2021, Plaintiff was again violently beaten by the A-8 Gladiators in the A-8 Pod and suffered serious and permanent physical injuries. John/Jane Doe Correctional Officers failed to ensure Plaintiff's cell was properly locked and secure at appropriate times, ignored Plaintiff's attempts to receive help, failed to intervene in the beating, failed to separate the gang following the beating and failed to take Plaintiff to the medical unit following the beating.
- 14. Plaintiff was not the first female inmate to be beaten by the A-8 Gladiators. Plaintiff is one of almost a dozen female inmates who were beaten by the same gang of female inmates, with the acquiescence of and without intervention from John/Jane Doe Correctional Officers, who has or will file a similar action.
- 15. John/Jane Doe Correctional Officers were fully aware of the A-8 Gladiators' existence and the beatings administered by the gang, to include prior to Plaintiff being beaten. John/Jane Doe Correctional Officers failed to intervene in the beatings when they were occurring, separate the gang following the beatings, respond to inmates who hit their cell's callbox needing assistance, ensure inmates' cells were properly locked and secure at appropriate times, take inmates who had been beaten to the medical unit, and overall encouraged the beatings.

CAUSES OF ACTION

COUNT I – EIGHTH AMENDMENT VIOLATIONS UNDER 42 U.S.C. § 1983 John/Jane Doe Correctional Officers

16. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

- 17. Defendants, while acting under color of law and within the scope of their employment, violated the Eighth Amendment right of Plaintiff to be free from cruel and unusual punishment and the unnecessary and wanton infliction of pain.
- 18. The actions of Defendants, described hereinabove, violated the constitutional rights guaranteed to Plaintiff under the Eighth Amendment of the United States Constitution by acting with deliberate indifference to her health and safety.
- 19. Defendants, as described hereinabove, were deliberately indifferent to, and willfully ignored, the health and safety of Plaintiff.
- 20. Defendants were both objectively and subjectively aware of the risk of serious harm posed by ignoring or otherwise failing to properly address Plaintiff's health and safety.
- 21. The actions of Defendants, described hereinabove, were not taken in good-faith, were objectively unreasonable and were in violation of clearly established law.
- 22. During the time period at issue, it was clearly established that subjecting an inmate to cruel and unusual punishment and the unnecessary and wanton infliction of pain, as described hereinabove, violated the Eighth Amendment of the United States Constitution.
- 23. During the time period at issue, it was clearly established that acting with deliberate indifference to, and willfully ignoring, the health and safety of an inmate, as described hereinabove, violated the Eighth Amendment of the United States Constitution.
 - 24. The actions of Defendants, described hereinabove, shock the conscience.
 - 25. The actions of Defendants, described hereinabove, were unlawful and unjustified.
- 26. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' unreasonable, unjustified and unconstitutional actions, Plaintiff suffered violations of her constitutional rights, severe bodily injury and conscious pain and suffering. Plaintiff will seek to recover all damages permitted under the law.

- 27. In addition to these compensatory damages, Plaintiff will also seek to recover, under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, attorneys' fees and costs incurred during the course of this litigation.
- 28. The actions of Defendants were reprehensible, willful and wanton, malicious and in blatant disregard for the rights owed to Plaintiff, thereby justifying an award of punitive damages, to the extent such damages are recoverable under the applicable insurance policy(s),

COUNT II – CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT EIGHTH AMENDMENT VIOLATIONS <u>UNDER 42 U.S.C. § 1983</u> John/Jane Doe Correctional Officers

- 29. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 30. Defendants, while acting under color of law and within the scope of their employment, acted jointly and in concert to deprive Plaintiff of her Eighth Amendment right to be free from cruel and unusual punishment.
- 31. Defendants committed overt acts to accomplish the constitutional violations and injuries described herein.
- 32. The actions of Defendants, described hereinabove, violated the constitutional rights guaranteed to Plaintiff under the Eighth Amendment of the United States Constitution.
- 33. The actions of Defendants, described hereinabove, were not taken in good-faith, were objectively unreasonable and were in violation of clearly established law.
- 34. During the time period at issue, it was clearly established that subjecting an inmate to cruel and unusual punishment and the unnecessary and wanton infliction of pain, as described hereinabove, violated the Eighth Amendment of the United States Constitution.
- 35. During the time period at issue, it was clearly established that acting with deliberate indifference to, and willfully ignoring, the health and safety of an inmate, as described hereinabove, violated the Eighth Amendment of the United States Constitution.

- 36. The actions of Defendants, described hereinabove, shock the conscience.
- 37. The actions of Defendants, described hereinabove, were unlawful and unjustified.
- 38. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' unreasonable, unjustified and unconstitutional actions, Plaintiff suffered violations of her constitutional rights, severe bodily injury and conscious pain and suffering. Plaintiff will seek to recover all damages permitted under the law.
- 39. In addition to these compensatory damages, Plaintiff will also seek to recover, under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, attorneys' fees and costs incurred during the course of this litigation.
- 40. The actions of Defendants were reprehensible, willful and wanton, malicious and in blatant disregard for the rights owed to Plaintiff, thereby justifying an award of punitive damages, to the extent such damages are recoverable under the applicable insurance policy(s).

COUNT III – FAILURE TO INTERVENE/BYSTANDER LIABILITY UNDER 42 U.S.C. § 1983 John/Jane Doe Correctional Officers

- 41. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 42. Defendants, while acting under color of law and within the scope of their employment, violated Plaintiff's constitutional rights by failing to intervene while the above-referenced constitutional violations occurred.
- 43. Defendants were aware that Plaintiff's constitutional rights were being violated, as set forth hereinabove, yet purposefully failed and/or refused to take reasonable steps to protect her.
 - 44. Defendants had opportunities to intervene and protect Plaintiff, but chose not to.
- 45. The actions of Defendants, described hereinabove, violated the constitutional rights guaranteed to Plaintiff under the Eighth Amendment of the United States Constitution.

- 46. The actions of Defendants, described hereinabove, were not taken in good-faith, were objectively unreasonable and were in violation of clearly established law.
 - 47. The actions of Defendants, described hereinabove, shock the conscience.
 - 48. The actions of Defendants, described hereinabove, were unlawful and unjustified.
- 49. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' unreasonable, unjustified and unconstitutional actions, Plaintiff suffered violations of her constitutional rights, severe bodily injury and conscious pain and suffering. Plaintiff will seek to recover all damages permitted under the law.
- 50. In addition to these compensatory damages, Plaintiff will also seek to recover, under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, attorneys' fees and costs incurred during the course of this litigation.
- 51. The actions of Defendants were reprehensible, willful and wanton, malicious and in blatant disregard for the rights owed to Plaintiff, thereby justifying an award of punitive damages, to the extent such damages are recoverable under the applicable insurance policy(s).

<u>COUNT IV – NEGLIGENCE</u> John/Jane Doe Correctional Officers

- 52. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
 - 53. At all times relevant hereto, Defendants owed Plaintiff a duty of care.
- 54. As set forth hereinabove, Defendants, while acting within the scope of their employment, breached their duties of care to Plaintiff.
- 55. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' negligent, reckless and unreasonable conduct, Plaintiff suffered severe bodily injury and conscious pain and suffering. Plaintiff will seek to recover all damages permitted under the law.

56. The actions of Defendants were reprehensible, willful and wanton, malicious and in blatant disregard for the rights owed to Plaintiff, thereby justifying an award of punitive damages, to the extent such damages are recoverable under the applicable insurance policy(s).

COUNT V – GROSS NEGLIGENCE John/Jane Doe Correctional Officers

- 57. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
 - 58. At all times relevant hereto, Defendants owed Plaintiff a duty of care.
- 59. As set forth hereinabove, Defendants, while acting within the scope of their employment, breached their duties of care to Plaintiff.
- 60. In breaching their duties of care, Defendants displayed a conscious indifference to probable harmful consequences for their actions.
- 61. In breaching their duties of care, Defendants displayed a reckless disregard for the welfare of Plaintiff.
- 62. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' grossly negligent, reckless and unreasonable conduct, Plaintiff suffered severe bodily injury and conscious pain and suffering. Plaintiff will seek to recover all damages permitted under the law.
- 63. The actions of Defendants were reprehensible, willful and wanton, malicious and in blatant disregard for the rights owed to Plaintiff, thereby justifying an award of punitive damages, to the extent such damages are recoverable under the applicable insurance policy(s).

COUNT VI – INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS/TORT OF OUTRAGE John/Jane Doe Correctional Officers

64. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

- 65. Defendants' actions toward Plaintiff, as described hereinabove, were atrocious, intolerable and so extreme and outrageous as to exceed the bounds of decency.
- 66. Defendants acted with intent to inflict emotional distress or acted recklessly when it was certain or substantially certain that emotional distress would result from their outrageous conduct.
 - 67. Defendants' heinous actions caused Plaintiff to suffer severe emotional distress.
- 68. The emotional distress was so severe, no reasonable person could be expected to endure it.
- 69. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' reckless, intolerable and outrageous conduct, Plaintiff suffered severe emotional distress and will seek compensatory damages for the same.
- 70. The actions of Defendants were reprehensible, willful and wanton, malicious and in blatant disregard for the rights owed to Plaintiff, thereby justifying an award of punitive damages, to the extent such damages are recoverable under the applicable insurance policy(s).

COUNT VII – COMMON LAW CIVIL CONSPIRACY John/Jane Doe Correctional Officers

- 71. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
 - 72. As set forth hereinabove, Defendants acted jointly and in concert to:
 - a. Negligently injure Plaintiff;
 - b. Injure Plaintiff in a grossly negligent manner; and
 - c. Intentionally inflict emotional distress on Plaintiff.
- 73. As set forth hereinabove, Defendants had a meeting of the minds to accomplish the tortious actions and injuries described herein.

- 74. As set forth hereinabove, Defendants committed overt acts to accomplish the tortious actions and injuries described herein.
- 75. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' tortious conduct, Plaintiff suffered severe bodily injury and conscious pain and suffering. Plaintiff will seek to recover all damages permitted under the law.
- 76. The actions of Defendants were reprehensible, willful and wanton, malicious and in blatant disregard for the rights owed to Plaintiff, thereby justifying an award of punitive damages, to the extent such damages are recoverable under the applicable insurance policy(s).

COUNT VIII – NEGLIGENT HIRING WVDOCR

- 77. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 78. At all times relevant hereto, WVDOCR owed inmates at SRJ, including Plaintiff, a duty of care.
- 79. WVDOCR owed inmates at SRJ, including Plaintiff, a duty to not negligently hire employees and place them in positions of power and authority where they can cause harm or injuries to others.
- 80. Upon information and belief, WVDOCR did not conduct a reasonable investigation into the background of their Employee Defendants and did not adequately assess the possible risk of harm or injury to third-parties that could result from the conduct of their Employee Defendants if they were employed at SRJ.
- 81. Upon information and belief, WVDOCR's Employee Defendants had a history of prior negligent acts and misconduct, including but not limited to, unjustified violence towards inmates; cruel and unusual punishment and the unnecessary and wanton infliction of pain; and deliberate indifference to, and willfully ignoring, the health and safety of inmates.

- 82. Upon information and belief, had WVDOCR conducted a reasonable investigation into the background of their Employee Defendants, they would have discovered that their Employee Defendants had a history of negligent acts and misconduct.
- 83. Upon information and belief, had WVDOCR conducted a reasonable investigation into the background of their Employee Defendants, their negligent acts described hereinabove could have been prevented.
- 84. WVDOCR violated clearly established rights and/or laws with respect to the hiring of its Employee Defendants, *inter alia*, *West Virginia Code* § 15A-3-5 and WVDOCR Regulations.
- 85. As a direct and proximate result of WVDOCR's negligent conduct, Plaintiff suffered severe bodily injury and conscious pain and suffering. Plaintiff will seek to recover all damages permitted under the law.
- 86. The actions of WVDOCR were reprehensible, willful and wanton, malicious and in blatant disregard for the rights owed to Plaintiff, thereby justifying an award of punitive damages, to the extent such damages are recoverable under the applicable insurance policy(s).

COUNT IX – NEGLIGENT SUPERVISION AND TRAINING WVDOCR

- 87. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 88. At all times relevant hereto, WVDOCR owed inmates at SRJ, including Plaintiff, a duty of care.
- 89. WVDOCR owed inmates at SRJ, including Plaintiff, a duty to properly and adequately supervise and train their employees, especially those in positions of power and authority, to prevent them from negligently causing harm or injuries to others.
- 90. Upon information and belief, WVDOCR's Employee Defendants were not properly or adequately supervised at SRJ.

- 91. Upon information and belief, WVDOCR's Employee Defendants were not properly or adequately trained at SRJ.
- 92. Upon information and belief, WVDOCR's negligent supervision and training of their Employee Defendants allowed them to freely commit the negligent acts described hereinabove.
- 93. Upon information and belief, had WVDOCR properly and adequately supervised and trained their Employee Defendants, their negligent acts described hereinabove could have been prevented.
- 94. The WVDOCR violated clearly established rights and/or laws with respect to the supervision and training of its Employee Defendants, *inter alia*, *West Virginia Code* § 15A-3-4(a)(4), *West Virginia Code* § 15A-3-5 and WVDOCR Regulations.
- 95. As a direct and proximate result of WVDOCR's negligent conduct, Plaintiff suffered severe bodily injury and conscious pain and suffering. Plaintiff will seek to recover all damages permitted under the law.
- 96. The actions of WVDOCR were reprehensible, willful and wanton, malicious and in blatant disregard for the rights owed to Plaintiff, thereby justifying an award of punitive damages, to the extent such damages are recoverable under the applicable insurance policy(s).

COUNT X - NEGLIGENT RETENTION WVDOCR

- 97. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 98. At all times relevant hereto, WVDOCR owed inmates at SRJ, including Plaintiff, a duty of care.

- 99. WVDOCR owed inmates at SRJ, including Plaintiff, a duty to not negligently retain unfit employees, especially those in positions of power and authority, who have engaged in negligent or otherwise wrongful conduct.
- 100. Upon information and belief, WVDOCR's Employee Defendants had a history of prior negligent acts and misconduct, including but not limited to, unjustified violence towards inmates; cruel and unusual punishment and the unnecessary and wanton infliction of pain; and deliberate indifference to, and willfully ignoring, the health and safety of inmates.
- 101. Despite these aforementioned negligent acts, as described hereinabove, WVDOCR's Employee Defendants were retained.
- 102. Upon information and belief, WVDOCR knew or should have known that their Employee Defendants were unfit, but retained them anyway.
- 103. Upon information and belief, WVDOCR could have reasonably foreseen the possible risk of harm or injury to others that could result from the conduct of their unfit Employee Defendants.
- 104. Upon information and belief, had WVDOCR not negligently retained their Employee Defendants, their negligent acts described hereinabove could have been prevented.
- 105. WVDOCR violated clearly established rights and/or laws with respect to the retention of its Employee Defendants, *inter alia*, *West Virginia Code* § 15A-3-5 and WVDOCR Regulations.
- 106. As a direct and proximate result of WVDOCR's negligent conduct, Plaintiff suffered severe bodily injury and conscious pain and suffering. Plaintiff will seek to recover all damages permitted under the law.

107. The actions of WVDOCR were reprehensible, willful and wanton, malicious and in blatant disregard for the rights owed to Plaintiff, thereby justifying an award of punitive damages, to the extent such damages are recoverable under the applicable insurance policy(s).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants, in an amount exceeding the minimum jurisdictional limit of this Court, and in such sums as will fairly and fully compensate Plaintiff for her injuries and damages proximately caused by the wrongful conduct of Defendants, together with pre and post judgment interest, for reasonable attorneys' fees and costs for the prosecution of this action, for punitive damages, and for any further relief this Court deems just and proper.

PLAINTIFF DEMANDS A TRIAL BY JURY.

NICOLE HENRY

By Counsel,

/s/ Timothy P. Lupardus

Timothy P. Lupardus, WV Bar #6252 Lupardus Law Office 275 Bearhole Road Pineville, WV 24874 Phone: (304) 732-0250

Email: office@luparduslaw.com

/s/ Stephen P. New

Stephen P. New, WV Bar #7756 Amanda J. Taylor, WV Bar #11635 Emilee B. Wooldridge, WV Bar #14310 New, Taylor & Associates 430 Harper Park Drive Beckley, WV 25801 Phone: (304) 250-6017

Phone: (304) 250-6017 Fax: (304) 250-6012

Email: steve@newtaylorlaw.com

/s/ Zachary K. Whitten

Zachary K. Whitten, WV Bar #13709

Whitten Law Office, L.C. P.O. Box 753
Pineville, WV 24874
Phone: (304) 202-0511

Email: zwhittenlaw@gmail.com

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Southern District of West Virginia					
NICOLE HENRY))				
Plaintiff(s) V. DIVISION OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION AND JOHN/JANE DOE CORRECTIONAL OFFICERS Defendant(s)))))) Civil Action No. 5:23-cv-00655))))				
SUMMONS IN	N A CIVIL ACTION				
To: (Defendant's name and address) DIVISION OF CORRECT 1409 GREENBRIER STF CHARLESTON, WV 253	REET				
A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: TIMOTHY P. LUPARDUS (WVSB #6252) LUPARDUS LAW OFFICE, L.C. P.O. BOX 1680 PINEVILLE, WV 24874 If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.					
	CLERK OF COURT				
Date:	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk				

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. 5:23-cv-00655

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

	This summons for (nar	ne of individual and title, if a	nny)			
was rec	ceived by me on (date)					
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the inc	dividual at (place)			
	r		on (date)	; or		
	☐ I left the summons	at the individual's resid	lence or usual place of abode with (name)			
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there, on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or \[\sum \] I served the summons on (name of individual), w					
	designated by law to	accept service of proces	ss on behalf of (name of organization)			
	on (date)					
	☐ I returned the sumr	nons unexecuted because	se	; or		
	☐ Other (specify):					
	My fees are \$	for travel and	\$ for services, for a total of \$	0.00		
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.					
Date:			Server's signature			
			Server 3 signature			
			Printed name and title			
			Server's address			

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Print Save As...

Reset

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Southern Distri	ict of West Virginia
NICOLE HENRY)))
Plaintiff(s) V. DIVISION OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION AND JOHN/JANE DOE CORRECTIONAL OFFICERS Defendant(s))) Civil Action No. 5:23-cv-00655)))
SUMMONS IN	N A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address) JOHN/JANE DOE ADDRESS UNKNOW	N
are the United States or a United States agency, or an offic	(WVSB #6252)
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	e entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
	CLERK OF COURT
Date:	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

5:23-cv-0655

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

	This summons for (n	ame of individual and title, if a	nny)				
was rec	ceived by me on (date)		•				
	☐ I personally serve	ed the summons on the inc	dividual at (place)				
			on (date)	; or			
	☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name)						
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there, on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or I served the summons on (name of individual),						
	designated by law to	o accept service of proces	s on behalf of (name of organization)				
			on (date)	; or			
	☐ I returned the sun	nmons unexecuted because	se	; or			
	☐ Other (specify):						
	My fees are \$	for travel and	\$ for services, for a total of \$	0.00			
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.						
Date:		-	Server's signature				
		-	Printed name and title				
		-	Server's address				

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Print Save As...

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil de	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE	E OF THIS F						
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS					
Nicole Henry			West Virginia Division of Corrections and Rehabilitation and John/Jane Doe Correctional Officers					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Raleigh			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Kanawha					
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Number)		Attorneys (If Known	1)				
Timothy P. Lupa	ardus, Esq. Lupardus Law Office, LO	C. P.O.						
	ille, WV 24874 (304)732-0250	.,						
	ICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF I (For Diversity Cases Only			Place an "X" in nd One Box for I		
U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citiz		PTF DEF	Incorporated or Pri	ncipal Place	PTF 4	DEF 4
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	zen of Another State	2 2	Incorporated and Proof Business In A		5	5
			zen or Subject of a [oreign Country	3 3	Foreign Nation		6	6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		E	ODERITUDE/BENIAL TV		for: Nature of Structure of Str		STATUT	
CONTRACT 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education PERSONAL INJU 367 Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Perso Injury Product Liability 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lendin 371 Truth in Lendin 371 Truth in Lendin 372 PRISONER PETITI X Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & C 550 Civil Rights 448 Education 555 Prison Conditie	JRY 6 Sity 6 Sity 6 Sity 7 Sign 7 Sign 7 Sign 7 Sign 7 Sign 8 Sign 7 Sign 8 Sign 8	CABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 120 Labor/Management Relations 140 Railway Labor Act 151 Family and Medical Leave Act 190 Other Labor Litigation 191 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 162 Naturalization Application 165 Other Immigration Actions	422 App 423 With	eal 28 USC 158 Idrawal USC 157 LLECTUAL RTY RIGHTS Prights Int Int - Abbreviated Proug Application Idemark Idemark Ind Trade Secrets Idemark	375 False 0 376 Qui Ta 3729(i 400 State F 410 Antitat 430 Banks 450 Comm 460 Deport 470 Racket Corrup 480 Consu (15 U) 485 Teleph Protec 490 Cable/i 850 Securi Excha 890 Other 891 Agricu 893 Enviro 895 Freedc 496 Arbitra 899 Admir Act/Re	Claims Act am (31 USC a)) Reapportion ist and Bankinerce tation teer Influer to Organiza more Consultion Act Sat TV ties/Communge Statutory Aultural Acts branched by the Communistrative Preview or All y Decision tutionality	mment ng need and tions 1692) mer odities/ actions latters mation rocedure ppeal of
	moved from 3 Remanded from	☐4 Rein	Annual Control of the	sferred from	6 Multidistri		Multidis	
Proceeding Sta	tte Court Appellate Court	Reo	opened Anoth (speci	her District ify)	Litigation Transfer	- –	Litigation Direct F	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you 42 U.S.C. § 1983 Brief description of cause: Civil rights violation	are filing (007	versity):			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.	ON I	DEMAND \$		HECK YES only DEMAND:	if demanded i	n complai	
VIII. RELATED CASS	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE			DOCK	ET NUMBER			
DATE	SIGNATURE OF A	TTORNEY	OF RECORD					
10/2/2023	/s/ Timothy P. Lup	ardus (WVS	SB #6252)					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	VOID	D	###C=		MA 0 77	OCE		
RECEIPT # Al	MOUNT APPLYING IF	P	JUDGE		MAG. JUD	JUE		

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

 United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

 Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

 Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
 - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket.

PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statute.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service.
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

 Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.

 Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.